



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**DEVELOPMENT OF COMPUTER PROGRAM TO ANALYSE  
COMBUSTION PRODUCTS RESULTING FROM  
INCINERATION OF SOLID FUELS**

**HARIMI MOHAMED**

**FK 2000 29**

**DEVELOPMENT OF COMPUTER PROGRAM TO ANALYSE  
COMBUSTION PRODUCTS RESULTING FROM  
INCINERATION OF SOLID FUELS**

**By**

**HARIMI MOHAMED**

**Thesis Submitted Fulfilment of the Requirements for the  
Degree of Master of Science in the Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
April 2000**



**This Work is Dedicated  
To  
My Parents and Sisters**

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in  
fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

**DEVELOPMENT OF COMPUTER PROGRAM TO ANALYSE CHEMICAL  
PRODUCTS RESULTED FROM INCINERATION OF SOLID FUELS**

By

**HARIMI MOHAMED**

**April 2000**

**Chairman: Fuad Abas, Ir**

**Faculty: Engineering**

Numerous technologies have been developed to combust solid fuels and waste in a commercially useful manner. Impetus for technology development includes optimizing energy recovery, capitalizing upon economies of scale, maximizing the potential applications of solid fuels, and achieving compliance with present and expected environmental regulations. The goal of this research is to develop a computer program for analysing the chemical products from incineration of solid fuel. The objective is to compute the concentrations of 12 chemical products at a given temperature and excess air, with total flue gas pressure equals to 1 atm. Those concentrations can be used as thermodynamic indicators, for lowering the emissions by adequately organizing and conducting the combustion process. The computer program also takes into account the possibility of further extension.

The products of combustion concerned include; carbon dioxide CO<sub>2</sub>, carbon monoxide CO, water vapor H<sub>2</sub>O, hydrogen H<sub>2</sub>, sulfur dioxide SO<sub>2</sub>, sulfur trioxide SO<sub>3</sub>, nitric oxide NO, nitrogen dioxide NO<sub>2</sub>, hydrogen chloride HCl, chlorine Cl<sub>2</sub>, oxygen O<sub>2</sub>, and nitrogen N<sub>2</sub>. Chemical combustion and equilibrium analysis form the

main part of this research. For the first analysis 6 equations are deduced from mole balance, and 6 other equations are deduced from the second analysis by using the chemical equilibrium constants. The 12 equations are non-linear, and therefore numerical method is used i.e. the Newton iteration method for its rapid convergence.

The output from this computer program are compared with the result of research carried out by the Institute of Nuclear Sciences (INS), which is based on the application of the developed model for computing the chemical thermodynamic equilibrium of a system formed by compounds and elements contained in the Kolubare [Yugoslavia] basin lignite. Combustion simulation was done for eight lignite compositions with temperatures from 800 to 1700 K, pressure 1 atm, and 25% excess air. The results obtained from the combustion of such a solid fuel show that there is no significant difference between the two sources [INS & Program] as proved by using statistical T-test whatever difference in the results that are noticed, vary from one compound to another. This is due to different models used in computing the chemical equilibrium constants and the number of products used. the results given by INS are related to more than 12 unknowns in comparison to the developed computer program.

It is recommended to add to the developed computer program the equation of energy, from which the adiabatic temperature can be computed. For the accuracy of the computer program, other compounds may be added such as H, OH, HO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, HNO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, SO, N, O, and CH<sub>4</sub>. Beside this the humidity in the air should be taken into account. Additional subroutine may be inserted in the computer program, for computing some thermodynamic parameters and also amount of additional fuel in

case where the solid waste have insufficient heating value. Options for designing furnace and the auxiliary equipments of an incinerator can also be included.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat, Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi sebahagian keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**PEMBANGUNAN ATURCARA KOMPUTER BAGI MENGANALISIS  
PRODUK KIMIA YANG DIHASILKAN DARI PENUNUAN  
BAHAN API PEPEJAL**

Oleh

**HARIMI MOHAMED**

**April 2000**

**Pengerusi: Fuad Abas, Ir**

**Fakulti: Kejuruteraan**

Pelbagai teknologi telah dibangunkan untuk membakar bahan api pepejal dan sisa dengan cara yang berguna dari segi komersial. Pemangkin bagi pembangunan teknologi termasuk pengoptimuman pemulihan tenaga, pembiayaan skala ekonomi, memaksimumkan aplikasi berpotensi bagi bahan api pepejal, dan mencapai pematuhan peraturan-peraturan alam sekitar sekarang dan pada masa hadapan. Objektifnya ialah untuk mengira kepekatan bagi 12 produk kimia pada suhu dan udara lebih yang telah ditetapkan, dengan tekanan gas serombong menyeluruh bersamaan dengan 1 atmosfera. Kepekatan tersebut boleh digunakan sebagai penunjuk termodinamik, bagi mengurangkan keluaran dengan mengorganisasi dan menjalankan proses pembakaran secara sempurna. Aturcara komputer tersebut juga mengambil kira kemungkinan penambahan tugas penyelidikan.

Produk pembakaran termasuk karbon dioksida  $\text{CO}_2$ , karbon monoksida  $\text{CO}$ , wap air  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , hidrogen  $\text{H}_2$ , sulfur dioksida  $\text{SO}_2$ , sulfur trioksida  $\text{SO}_3$ , nitrik oksida  $\text{NO}$ , nitrogen dioksida  $\text{NO}_2$ , hidrogen klorida  $\text{HCl}$ , klorin  $\text{Cl}_2$ , oksigen  $\text{O}_2$  dan nitrogen  $\text{N}_2$ . Pembakaran kimia dan analisis keseimbangan adalah kandungan utama

bagi penyelidikan ini. Bagi analisis pertama 6 persamaan telah dibuat kesimpulan dari persamaan mol dan 6 persamaan yang lain telah dibuat kesimpulan dari analisis yang kedua menggunakan permalar keseimbangan kimia. Kesemua 12 persamaan tersebut berbentuk tidak lurus. Oleh yang demikian, kaedah berangka telah digunakan,

Keluaran (*output*) dari aturcara komputer ini dibandingkan dengan hasil penyelidikan yang dilaksanakan oleh Institute of Nuclear Science (INS), yang berasaskan penggunaan model yang telah dibangunkan bagi mengira keseimbangan termodinamik kimia bagi satu sistem yang dibentuk dari sebatian dan unsur terkandung dalam lignit lembangan Kolubare [Yugoslavia] dan pembakaran udara, kajian telah dibuat keatas lapan jenis lignit dalam keadaan pembakaran suhu 800 ke 1700 K, tekanan 1 atm, dan

dari pembakaran bahan api pepejal tersebut menunjukkan bahawa tidak ada perbezaan yang ketara dari kedua-dua sumber [INS dan aturcara], dan dibuktikan dengan mengguna kaedah statistik ujian-T. Mana-mana perbezaan keputusan yang ketara didapati berubah-ubah dari satu sebatian kepada yang lain. Ini disebabkan model-model yang berlainan yang digunakan dalam mengira keseimbangan kimia dan bilangan produk yang digunakan. Keputusan yang diberikan oleh INS adalah berhubung kait dengan lebih dari 12 anu jika dibandingkan dengan perisian komputer yang telah dibangunkan.

Adalah dicadangkan untuk memasukkan persamaan tenaga ke dalam aturcara komputer yang telah dibangunkan dari mana suhu adiabatik boleh dikira. Bagi ketepatan aturcara komputer, sebatian lain boleh ditambah contohnya H, OH, HO<sub>2</sub>,



$\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{HNO}_2$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{SO}$ ,  $\text{N}$ ,  $\text{O}$  dan  $\text{CH}_4$ . Di samping itu kelembapan dalam udara perlu diambil kira. Subrutin tambahan boleh dimasukkan dalam aturcara komputer bagi mengira parameter termodinamik dan kandungan bahan api tambahan dalam kes di mana sisa pepejal mengandungi nilai haba yang tidak mencukupi. Reka bentuk relau dan peralatan tambah bagi penunuan tersebut boleh dimasukkan juga.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The author wishes to express his profound appreciation and gratitude to the chairman of the supervisory committee, Ir Fuad Abas for his excellent supervision, invaluable guidance, continuous encouragement, constructive suggestions and comments throughout the duration of this research study.

The author is indebted to the members of the supervisory committee, Dr. Mohd Sapuan Salit and Dr Abul Hossain Mollah for their comments and suggestions.

A deep thank to the personnel in the Graduate School Office UPM, and to Dr. Mohamed Al Awad of UNITEN, without their support and encouragement it would not have been easy to finish my study and finally, all who have helped directly or indirectly.

I certify that an Examination Committee met on 14<sup>th</sup> April 2000 to conduct the final examination of Harimi Mohamed on his Master of Science thesis entitled “Development of Computer Program to Analyse Combustion Products Resulting from Incineration of Solid Fuels” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination committee are as follows:

Nor Mariah Adam, Ph.D.  
Department of Mechanical and Manufacturing,  
Faculty of Engineering,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.  
(Chairman)

Fuad Abas, PEng.  
Department of Mechanical and Manufacturing,  
Faculty of Engineering,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.  
(Member)


Md. Abul Hossain Mollah, Ph.D.  
Department of Mechanical and Manufacturing,  
Faculty of Engineering,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.  
(Member)

Mohd. Sapuan Salit, Ph.D.  
Department of Mechanical and Manufacturing,  
Faculty of Engineering,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.  
(Member)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MOHD. GHAZALI MOHAYIDIN, Ph.D.,  
Professor/Deputy Dean of Graduate School,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: **30 MAY 2000**

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and was accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.


  
KAMIS AWANG, Ph.D,  
Associate Professor  
Dean of Graduate School,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Date: 13 JUL 2000

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any degree at UPM or other institutions.

Signed



Harimi Mohamed

Date: 25/05/2000

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	ix
APPROVAL SHEETS	x
DECLARATION FORM	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii

### CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1 1	Background of the Study	2
1 2	Statement of Problem	3
1 3	Objectives	6
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2 1	Introduction	7
2 2	Flue Gas Analysis Experiment	7
2 2 1	Orsat Gas Analysis	7
2 2 2	Chromatographic Analysis	8
2 2 3	Laser Techniques for Analysis	8
2 3	Flue Gas Estimation Methods	9
2 3 1	Carbon Monoxide and Dioxide Analysis	9
2 3 2	Sulfur Oxides Analysis	14
2 3 3	Nitrogen Oxides Analysis	18
2 4	Software Developed	27
2 4 1	Computer Programs of Thermochemical Parameters	27
2 4 2	Computer Programs of Kinetic Parameters	28
2 4 3	Computer Programs of Reaction mechanisms	29
2 4 4	Computer Programs of Thermodynamic Equilibrium	30
2 4 5	Computer Programs for MSW Incineration	32
2 5	Conclusion	34
3	CHEMICAL AND MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS	36
3 1	Chemical Combustion Analysis	36
3 1 1	Stoichiometric Combustion Analysis	37
3 1 2	Actual Combustion Analysis	40
3 2	Chemical Equilibrium Analysis	43
3 2 1	Chemical Equilibrium Constants	43
3 2 2	Chemical Equilibrium Reactions	47
3 3	Mathematical Analysis	49



3.3.1	Equations from Conservation of mass .....	49
3.3.2	Equations from Equilibrium Constants .....	50
3.3.3	System of Equations to be Solved .....	52
3.3.4	Numerical Method used .....	55
3.4	General Algorithm and Flowchart Diagram .....	59
3.4.1	General Algorithm Developed .....	59
3.4.2	Flowchart Diagram .....	61
3.5	Programming Language Used.....	63
3.6	Method used to Test the Results .....	65
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	67
4.1	Introduction .....	67
4.2	Results and Discussion for Major Constituent Species .....	68
4.2.1	Results Obtained .....	68
4.2.2	Discussion of Results .....	70
4.3	Results and Discussion for Minor Constituent Species .....	72
4.3.1	Results Obtained .....	72
4.3.2	Discussion of Results .....	78
4.4	Analysis of NO <sub>x</sub> and Other Dissociation Products .....	84
4.4.1	Nitrogen Oxides Analysis .....	85
4.4.2	Sulfur Trioxide Analysis .....	87
4.4.3	Carbon Dioxide Dissociation Analysis .....	88
4.4.4	Water Vapor Dissociation Analysis .....	91
4.5	Practical Considerations .....	92
4.6	Closure .....	93
5.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	95
5.1	Conclusion .....	95
5.2	Recommendations .....	97
	REFERENCES .....	99
	<b>APPENDIX</b>	
A	Flowchart Diagram of the Developed Program .....	104
B	Computer Program for Testing the Developed Program .....	107
C	Results Obtained from running the Developed Program .....	112
	VITA .....	152

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 Varied Percentage Excess Air used.....	4
1.2 Choices and Options used in the Program .....	6
3.1 Notation used in the Analysis of Combustion .....	37
3.2 Air Composition .....	38
3.3 Parameters used for Chemical Equilibrium Constants .....	48
4.1 Composition of the Raw Kolubara Coal (% by mass).....	67
4.2 Percentage by Volume of $N_2$ from INS and Program .....	68
4.3 Percentage by Volume of $H_2O$ from INS and Program .....	69
4.4 Percentage by Volume of $CO_2$ from INS and Program .....	69
4.5 Percentage by Volume of $O_2$ from INS and Program .....	69
4.6 Percentage by Volume of $SO_2$ from INS and Program .....	69
4.7 Percentage by Volume of $SO_2$ from INS .....	74
4.8 ppm by Volume of $SO_3$ from INS .....	74
4.9 ppm by Volume of $NO$ from INS .....	75
4.10 Percentage by Volume of $SO_2$ from Program .....	75
4.11 ppm by Volume of $SO_3$ from Program .....	75
4.12 ppm by Volume of $NO$ from Program .....	76
4.13 T-test Computation for $SO_2$ .....	76
4.14 T-test Computation for $SO_3$ .....	77
4.15 T-test Computation for $NO$ .....	77



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1     Appropriate Range of MSW Incinerators .....	11
4.1     Percentage by Volume for N <sub>2</sub> and O <sub>2</sub> obtained from INS and Program .....	70
4.2     Percentage by Volume for H <sub>2</sub> O and CO <sub>2</sub> obtained from INS and Program .....	71
4.3     Percentage by Volume for SO <sub>2</sub> obtained from INS and Program.....	72
4.4     Percentage by Volume of SO <sub>2</sub> from INS and Program at Feed 1 & 2 .....	78
4.5     Percentage by Volume of SO <sub>2</sub> from INS and Program at Feed 3 & 4 .....	79
4.6     Percentage by Volume of SO <sub>2</sub> from INS and Program at Feed 5 & 6 .....	79
4.7     Percentage by Volume of SO <sub>2</sub> from INS and Program at Feed 7 & 8 .....	80
4.8     ppmv of SO <sub>3</sub> from INS and Program at Feed 1 & 2 .....	81
4.9     ppmv of SO <sub>3</sub> from INS and Program at Feed 3 & 4 .....	81
4.10    ppmv of SO <sub>3</sub> from INS and Program at Feed 5 & 6 .....	82
4.11    ppmv of SO <sub>3</sub> from INS and Program at Feed 7 & 8 .....	82
4.12    ppmv of NO from INS and Program for Feed 1 to 4 .....	83
4.13    ppmv of NO from INS and Program for Feed 5 to 8 .....	84
4.14    Generation of NO <sub>x</sub> .....	85
4.15    Percentage Conversion of N <sub>2</sub> into NO <sub>x</sub> .....	86
4.16    Percentage of SO <sub>2</sub> Oxidized to SO <sub>3</sub> .....	88
4.17    Combustion Efficiency .....	89

4.18	Percentage Dissociation of $\text{CO}_2$ .....	90
4.19	Percentage Dissociation of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .....	91
4.20	Enlargement of Scale of $\text{O}_2$ Concentration for INS and Program....	93
4.21	Enlargement of Scale of $\text{N}_2$ Concentration for INS and Program ...	94

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

$\alpha$	Probability at which, the Null Hypothesis $H_0$ is rejected.
$\varepsilon$	Error tolerated when using the Newton's Method.
A/F	Air Fuel Ratio.
Act	Actual Condition.
A(i,j)	Matrix which contains Partial derivatives (Jacobi Matrix).
CARS	Coherent Anti-stokes Raman Scattering.
CE	Combustion Efficiency.
df	Degree of Freedom used in Statistics.
$E_j$	Elemental Composition of Element j.
Eqs	Equation (s).
%EX	Percentage Excess Air.
$f_i$	Mole Fraction of element i.
$F_j$	Function which contains equation j.
$\partial F_j / \partial X_i$	Partial Derivative of Function $F_j$ with respect to $X_i$ .
$\partial F / \partial X$	Jacobi Matrix incorporated in A(i,j).
$\Delta G^\circ$	Free Energy Change.
$H_0$	Null Hypothesis used in Statistics.
$H_A$	Alternative Hypothesis used in Statistics.
$\Delta H^\circ$	Standard Heat of Reaction.
$\Delta H_T$	Standard Enthalpy Change.
INS	Institute of Nuclear Science.
K	Chemical Equilibrium Constant / Degree in Kelvin.
$K_p$	Equilibrium Constant based on Partial Pressure.

$KP_i$	Equilibrium Constant for element i.
LIF	Laser-Induced Fluorescence.
$M_i$	Mass Fraction of Element i in the Reactants.
$m_i$	Mass Fraction of Element i in the Products of Combustion.
$MF_i$	Mass Fraction of Molecule i.
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste.
$MW_i$	Molecular Weight of Element i in Reactants.
$mw_i$	Molecular Weight of Element i in Products of Combustion.
$MWF_i$	Average Molecular Weight of Molecule i.
N	Total mole in Products / Nitrogen Atom.
$N_i$	Moles of Element i in Reactants.
$n_i$	Moles of Element i in Products of Combustion.
$N_{C_i}$	Number of Carbon Atom in the Molecule i.
$N_{Cl_i}$	Number of Chlorine Atom in the Molecule i.
$N_{H_i}$	Number of Hydrogen Atom in the Molecule i.
$N_{j_i}$	Number of element j in the Molecule i.
$N_{O_i}$	Number of Oxygen Atom in the Molecule i.
P	Absolute Pressure.
$P_i$	Partial Pressure of element i in Products.
ppmv	Part Per Million by Volume.
$P_{tot}, R$	Total Pressure (1 atm) and Gas Constant respectively.
RP and RC	Results obtained from Program and INS respectively.
$S_1, S_2$	Standard Deviations from INS and Program respectively.
$S_e$	Standard Error.
$S_p^2$	Pooled Variance Estimate.

$s_t$	Stoichiometric Condition (% EX=0).
$T$	Temperature of the Products of Combustion.
T-test	Test used to compare two Means in Statistics (Student's t distribution).
$T_{cal}$	T-test Calculated.
$T_{crit}$	T-test taken from Statistical Table.
$X_i$	Mole fraction as $Y_i$ used after reducing the number of unknowns.
$X^{it}$	Solution obtained at iteration it.
$\Delta X$	Difference between solution at it and it+1.
$Y_i$	Mole fraction of component i in the products.

## CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

For thousands of years the value of burning wastes has been recognized, both to reduce the quantity of surplus materials generated by households, trades, and agricultural practices, and to provide fuel for heating or cooking. Recognition of the potential environmental problems generated by burning solid wastes also has a long history.

According to Brunner (1984), the specific benefits of incineration include:

- The volume and weight of the waste is reduced to a fraction of its original size.
- Waste reduction is immediate; it does not require landfill or holding pond.
- Waste can be incinerated on-site, without having to be carted to a distant area.
- Air discharges can be effectively controlled for minimal impact on the atmospheric environment.
- The ash residue is usually non-putrescible, or sterile.
- Technology exists to completely destroy even the most hazardous of materials in a complete and effective manner.
- Incineration requires a relatively small disposal area, not the acres and acres required for lagoons or land burial.
- Using heat recovery techniques the cost of operation can often be reduced or offset by the use of or sale of energy.

Incineration will not solve all waste problems (Brunner, 1984), it has some disadvantages which include:

- High capital cost.
- Skilled operators are required.
- Not all materials are incinerable.
- Some materials require supplemental fuel to attain mandated efficiency of destruction.

### 1.1 Background of the Study

In the combustion of municipal solid waste [MSW], it is necessary first to understand the fundamentals of solid fuels and combustion issues. Those issues recognize that solid waste is not a single fuel. Rather, it is a heterogeneous mixture of widely dissimilar organic and inorganic materials which are thrown into a single combustion chamber. The process of combustion (Tillman et al., 1989) is manipulated through mechanical method, fuel blending and separation, physical operation, and accomplished by careful attention to the chemistry of solid waste oxidation. This last point is the main concern of this research.

It is necessary to develop a computer program which can compute the product of combustion at several excesses of air and temperatures, so that it can be seen clearly how each product reacts when some parameters are manipulated. The products of combustion under study include  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{CO}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{SO}_3$ ,  $\text{HCl}$ ,  $\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2$ ,  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{NO}$ , and  $\text{NO}_2$ . Since we have 12 unknowns it is necessary that 12 equations

be solved analytically or numerically, depending on the complexity of the equations used.

This study is divided into two parts; part one is concerned with chemical analysis such as chemical reaction (combustion) analysis and the other is concerned with chemical equilibrium analysis. In chemical reaction analysis we are concerned with computing the stoichiometric air used for the combustion of solid fuels and relating this amount of air by adding additional air. Therefore the stoichiometric of each element in the reactant is known, so that a mass balance or atom balance around each element can be made. For the chemical equilibrium analysis, it includes six chemical equilibrium reactions due to dissociation of  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{SO}_3$ ,  $\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{NO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{Cl}_2$  and their reverse reactions due to oxidation of  $\text{CO}$ ,  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2$ ,  $\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{HCl}$  respectively.

## 1.2 Statement of Problem

In this research, many variables are used and many unknowns exist. It is preferable to specify those variables and unknowns for the clarity of the problem.

The variables used include:

- Waste composition (mass fractions).
- Excess air introduced.
- Temperature of the products.



The developed program can simulate combustion process for different temperatures and percentage of excess air. The varied values of excess air are presented in Table 1.1, while the varied temperatures is given below.

Varied Temperature:

T(°C)            527    727    927    1125    1327    1427

T(K)            800    1000    1200    1400    1600    1700

Table 1.1 Varied Percentage Excess Air used

VAR No.	Percentage Excess Air [% EX]							
VAR 1	0	10	20	30	50	100	150	200
VAR 2	0	1	2	3	5	7	8	10
VAR 3	10	12	13	14	15	17	18	20
VAR 4	20	22	23	24	25	27	28	30
VAR 5	30	32	33	34	35	37	38	40
VAR 6	40	42	43	44	45	47	48	50
VAR 7	50	55	60	65	70	80	90	100
VAR 8	150	155	160	165	170	180	190	200

Twelve unknowns (concentration of combustion product) are considered and they are:

- CO<sub>2</sub>: Carbon dioxide.
- CO : Carbon monoxide.
- H<sub>2</sub>O: Water.
- H<sub>2</sub> : Hydrogen.